

Ronald Cupp  
150 Raley Town Center Ste 2512  
Rohnert Park, California [94928]  
Emergency Cell: (707) 318-9929  
ronc2009@gmail.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Ronald Cupp,

CASE NO: 22-CV-4689 YGR

Plaintiff,

vs.

**JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT  
STATEMENT**

FLINT C. ZIDE  
LAW OFFICES HARRIS AND ZIDE  
ROBERT HARRIS  
SARKIS S. KARAYAN  
LVNV FUNDING, LLC.  
SYNCHRONY BANK  
LAW OFFICES PANTENAUE & FELIX  
A.P.C.  
MICHAEL R. BOULANGER  
RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES, LP  
EXPERIAN  
TRANSUNION  
EQUAFAX  
DOES 1-10

**DATE: December 12, 2022**  
**TIME: 2:00 p.m.**  
**CRTRM: VIA ZOOM WEBINAR**  
HONORABLE Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

Defendants.

The parties to the above-entitled action jointly submit this Joint Case Management Statement pursuant to the Standing Order for the Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers of the Northern District of California and Civil Local Rule 16-9.

1     **1.     Jurisdiction and Service**

2             The parties agree that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the asserted claims  
 3 based upon the alleged violation of federal statutes, namely, the federal Fair Debt Collection  
 4 Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (“FDCPA”) and the Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 U.S.C.  
 5 § 1681 *et seq.* (“FCRA”), Fair Credit Billing Act 15 U.S.C. § 1666 *et seq.* (“FCBA”). Jurisdiction  
 6 for pendant state law claims arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection  
 7 CC § 1788 *et seq.* and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.

8             No issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue.

9             No issues exist regarding service.

10     **2.     Facts**

11             *Plaintiff's Statement*

12             Plaintiff alleges Defendants violated statutes of the FDCPA 15 USC §1692 (COUNT I &  
 13 II), FCRA 15 USC §1681s-2(b) (COUNT III), FCBA 15 USC § 1666 (COUNT IV) and California  
 14 Rosenthal FDCPA CC §1788 (COUNT V), IIED (COUNT VI).

15             Plaintiff alleges he is a consumer, Defendants are a debt collector, the debt attempted to  
 16 collect is a personal consumer debt from a person not the Plaintiff. Defendants violated FDCPA  
 17 by not following statute in the attempt to collect the debt, in the attempt to verify the debt, and  
 18 the reporting of the debt to the Credit Reporting Agencies (CRA). Defendant was required to first  
 19 stop the collection of the debt, and then report the debt as disputed in the CRA's. Defendant was  
 20 required to first perform an investigation, and then a reinvestigation of the debt, as Plaintiff  
 21 disputed this debt to the CRA; and to stop collection of the debt and the reporting of the debt until  
 22 the verified debt was reinvestigated.

23             *Defendant's Statement:*

24             Defendant Trans Union: Plaintiff's Complaint is vague and ambiguous as to the factual  
 25 and legal basis for his claims against Trans Union. As best as Trans Union can determine,  
 26 Plaintiff's claims primarily involve allegations of violations of various debt collection statutes,  
 27  
 28

1 which do not apply to Trans Union. Trans Union is a consumer reporting agency as that term is  
 2 defined by the FCRA and states that at all times relevant, Trans Union maintained reasonable  
 3 procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the relevant information concerning Plaintiff  
 4 and Trans Union complied with the requirements of the FCRA with respect to Plaintiff. Trans  
 5 Union furthermore properly initiated and conducted any required reinvestigation(s) pursuant to the  
 6 FCRA. As such, any damages Plaintiff may have sustained were not caused by Trans Union.

7 **Synchrony Bank:** Synchrony Bank's ("Synchrony") investigation into the underlying  
 8 facts of this matter are not yet complete, so it is not in a position to specifically address the  
 9 Plaintiff's allegations. However, Synchrony denies the allegations generally, denies that it violated  
 10 the FDCPA, FCRA, FCBA, and Rosenthal Act in any way, denies that Plaintiff suffered any  
 11 damages, and denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief whatsoever from Synchrony.

12 **Resurgent Capital Services, LP ("Resurgent"); LVNV Funding, LLC ("LVNV");**  
 13 **Law Offices of Harris & Zide, Flint C. Zide, and Sarkis S. Karayan ("Zide Defendants"):**  
 14 The allegations and legal claims against Resurgent, LVNV, and the Zide Defendants are unclear  
 15 and ambiguous. As a result, Plaintiff's allegations cannot be specifically addressed. Generally,  
 16 however, Resurgent, LVNV, and the Zide Defendants deny violating any laws and deny that  
 17 Plaintiff suffered any damages from their purported conduct. LVNV is a debt buyer which owns  
 18 the account at issue. Resurgent is the servicer of LVNV's accounts. Zide Defendants were  
 19 retained to pursue the debt owed. Plaintiff's allegations do not support any statutory violations or  
 20 any legal claims against Resurgent, LVNV, or the Zide Defendants.

### 23 **3. Legal Issue**

24 Plaintiff's Complaint alleges violations of the FDCPA, FCRA, FCBA, and the Rosenthal  
 25 FDCPA. The key legal issues are:

- 26 1) Standard for reasonable investigation under the FCRA
- 27 2) (Plaintiff) demands Defendants to follow strict liability statutes of the FDCPA, FCRA,
- 28 FCBA, and Rosenthal Act.

1       **Synchrony:** Synchrony has identified the following legal issues: Whether Plaintiff has  
2 or can satisfy every element of each of his causes of action and whether Plaintiff has any  
3 cognizable damages.

4       **Resurgent, LVNV and Zide Defendants:** At this stage, the general legal issue is  
5 whether Plaintiff can establish each element of the claims asserted and whether Plaintiff as  
6 suffered any damages resulting therefrom.

7  
8       **4.       Motions**

9       Defendants Law Offices of Patenaude & Felix, A.P.C. and Michael R. Boulanger have  
10 filed a 12(b)6, to be heard November 22, 2022.

11       No other motions are currently pending before this Court.

12       **Synchrony:** To the extent it is appropriate, Synchrony may file a dispositive motion.

13       **Resurgent, LVNV and Zide Defendants:** A dispositive motion is likely.

14  
15       **5.       Amendment of Pleadings**

16       The parties do not anticipate any amendment to the pleadings at this time.

17       (PLAINTIFF CUPP) may amend the pleadings to add a count(s) for UDAP.

18       **Synchrony:** Synchrony does not anticipate further amending its pleadings, but reserves  
19 the right to request to do so if so warranted. As indicated in Section 17 below, the Parties  
20 propose December 28, 2022, as the deadline for amending the pleadings.

21       **Resurgent, LVNV and Zide Defendants:** No amendment to the pleadings is anticipated  
22 by Resurgent, LVNV, and Zide Defendants. However, these defendants reserve the right to  
23 request amendment if warranted before the ordered deadline.

24  
25       **6.       Evidence Preservation**

26       Plaintiff has reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored  
27 Information and is taking reasonable and proportionate steps to preserve any relevant evidence.  
28 Plaintiff has already submitted to Defendants an E Discovery Letter.

1       **Synchrony:** By signature of its respective counsel below, the Synchrony hereby certifies  
2 that they have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored  
3 Information and confirm that they have met and conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)  
4 regarding reasonable and proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues  
5 reasonably evident in this action.

6       **Resurgent, LVNV and Zide Defendants:** These defendants have taken necessary steps  
7 to preserve evidence related to this action. These defendants, through counsel, certify they have  
8 reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information and  
9 confirm that they have met and conferred pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) regarding reasonable  
10 and proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issues reasonably evident in  
11 this action.

12  
13       **7. Disclosures**

14       The parties will exchange Initial Disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure,  
15 Rule 26(a) on or before November 21, 2022.

16  
17  
18       **8. Discovery**

19       The parties have not propounded written discovery requests nor noticed any deposition.  
20 A proposed discovery plan pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(f) is as follows:

21       **Initial Disclosures:** The parties will exchange Initial Disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule  
22 of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(a) on or before November 21, 2022.

23       **Timing and Subjects of Discovery:** The parties agree it is unnecessary to conduct  
24 discovery in phases or be limited or focused on particular issues. The parties believe that a facts  
25 discovery completion deadline of July 14, 2023 is appropriate. The parties anticipate propounding  
26 discovery requests for production of documents, requests for admission, and interrogatories, as  
27 well as taking depositions as necessary. The parties agree to abide by the limitations on discovery  
28 as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1       **Claims of Privilege or Protection:** Defendants anticipate the potential production of  
2 confidential, trade secret, and/or commercially protected information during the pendency of this  
3 action. The parties will submit a proposed stipulated protective order to the Court. Should there  
4 be any privileged items, Plaintiff will require a written list and a Privilege Log be supplied to  
5 Plaintiff by Defendant.

6 (Plaintiff CUPP) will request a protective order sooner than later to speed up any discovery issues.

7       **Limitations on Discovery:** The parties so not propose any changes to the limitations on  
8 discovery imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of this Court.

9       **Other Orders:** None at this time.

10       **9. Class Action**

11       This is not a class action.

12       **10. Related Cases**

13       There are no related cases.

14       **11. Relief**

15       Plaintiff's Complaint seeks statutory and punitive and attorney's fees and, costs.  
16 Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief sought.

17       **12. Settlement and ADR**

18       The parties agree to complete ADR via mediation before the Court's mediation panel and  
19 request a mediation completion date of February 15, 2023.

20       **13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes**

21       The parties did not consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings  
22 including trial and entry of judgment.

23       **14. Other References**

24       The parties do not believe that reference to binding arbitration, a special master or the  
25 Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation is necessary or appropriate.

26       **15. Narrowing of Issues**

27       The parties have been unable to narrow any issues at this time but will continue to work  
28

1 together to attempt to narrow or eliminate issues in the case.

2 **16. Expedited Trial Procedure**

3 The parties agree that this is not the type of case that should be handled under the  
4 Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order No. 64.

5 **17. Scheduling**

6 A Case Management Conference is currently scheduled for November 21, 2022. The  
7 parties propose the following timetable:

8	<b>Trial:</b>	April 8, 2024
9	<b>Final Pretrial Conference:</b>	March 22, 2024
10	<b>Last Day to Amend Pleadings:</b>	December 28, 2022
11	<b>Initial Disclosures Due:</b>	November 21, 2022
12	<b>Discovery Cut-Off:</b>	July 14, 2023
13	<b>Opening Expert Witness Disclosure:</b>	July 28, 2023
14	<b>Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure:</b>	August 18, 2023
15	<b>Expert Discovery Cut-Off:</b>	September 15, 2023
16	<b>L/D to file dispositive motions:</b>	October 31, 2023
17	<b>Opposition to MSJ:</b>	November 21, 2023
18	<b>Reply to MSJ:</b>	December 12, 2023
19	<b>Hearing on Dispositive motions:</b>	January 9, 2024

20 **18. Trial**

21 Plaintiff and Defendants have requested a jury trial. They provide a time estimate of 4 to  
22 5 days.

23 **19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons**

24 Plaintiffs' Disclosures:  
25 Not knowing at this time.

26 Defendants' Disclosures:

27 Trans Union: None.

28 **Synchrony: None**

**Resurgent, LVNV, Zide Defendants: None**

**Other Matters**

None at this time

Dated: October 20, 2022

By /S/ Ronald Cupp  
RONALD CUPP  
Pro Se Plaintiff

Dated: October 27, 2022

/s/ Jason S. Roberts  
Jason S. Roberts (SBN: 221978)  
Schuckit & Associates, P.C.  
4545 Northwestern Drive  
Zionsville, IN 46077  
Tel: (317) 363-2400  
Fax: (317) 363-2257  
Email: [jroberts@schuckitlaw.com](mailto:jroberts@schuckitlaw.com)  
*Attorneys for Defendant*  
*Trans Union LLC*

Dated: October 27, 2022

/s/ Steven Warner .  
Steven P. Warner (SBN: 159404)  
Email: [swarner@reedsmith.com](mailto:swarner@reedsmith.com)  
Reed Smith LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue  
Suite 2900  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1514  
Telephone: +1 213 457 8000  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Synchrony Bank

Dated: October 27, 2022

/s/ B. Ben Mohandesi  
B. Ben Mohandesi  
[bmohandesi@yumollp.com](mailto:bmohandesi@yumollp.com)  
YU | MOHANDESI LLP  
633 W. Fifth Street, Suite 2800  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
213-377-5505  
Attorneys for Defendants Resurgent Capital  
Services, LP; LVNV Funding, LLC;  
Law Offices of Harris & Zide;  
Flint C. Zide; and Sarkis S. Karayan



Dated: October 27, 2022

By: /s/ Katie Gonzalez

Katie Gonzalez (SBN 329085)

JONES DAY

1755 Embarcadero Road

Palo Alto, California 94303

Telephone: +1.650.739.3972

Facsimile: +1.650.739.3900

Attorneys for Defendant

Experian Information Solutions, Inc.